

STANISŁAW RABIEJ

University of Opole, Institute of Theological Sciences

University of Warsaw, Department *Artes Liberales*

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3677-2128>

Ambivalent assessment of the consequences of the Battle of White Mountain (1620). Defeat, Revolt, and the Crystallization of Czech Identity

Abstract

The Battle of White Mountain (November 8, 1620) near Prague was a brief military skirmish that had far-reaching political, religious, and cultural consequences for the Czech lands. This article re-examines the ambivalent legacy of this event for Czech collective memory and identity: as a national trauma and as a myth generating linguistic, religious, and civic renewal. Attention is also paid to the reception of White Mountain in literature, visual arts, and music, as well as its ecumenical implications for relations between Catholics and Protestants in Central Europe.

Keywords: White Mountain, Thirty Years' War, recatholization, Czech identity, cultural memory, ecumenism.

Ambiwalentna ocena skutków bitwy pod Białą Górą (1620). Kłęska, bunt i krystalizacja tożsamości czeskiej

Abstrakt

Bitwa pod Białą Górą (8 listopada 1620 r.) pod Pragą była krótką potyczką militarną, która miała daleko idące konsekwencje polityczne, religijne i kulturowe dla ziem czeskich. Artykuł ten ponownie analizuje ambiwalentną spuściznę tego wydarzenia dla czeskiej pamięci zbiorowej i tożsamości: jako narodowej traumy i jako mitu generującego odnowę językową, religijną i obywatelską. Uwagę poświęcono również odbiorowi Białej Góry w literaturze, sztukach wizualnych i muzyce, a także jej ekumenicznym implikacjom dla stosunków między katolikami a protestantami w Europie Środkowej.

Słowa kluczowe: Biała Góra, wojna trzydziestoletnia, rekatolizacja, czeska tożsamość, pamięć kulturowa, ekumenizm.

1. Historical Setting

1.1. The narrowing of religious compromise

At the turn of the seventeenth century the Kingdom of Bohemia – together with Moravia, (Upper and Lower) Lusatia, and Silesia – formed a composite polity within the Habsburg Monarchy. Bohemia's constitution was estate-based: the crown shared power with the three estates (nobility, clergy, burghers), which guarded their liberties through Land Diets, capitulations sworn by incoming rulers, and a dense fabric of privileges. The confessional landscape was plural. Late-medieval Utraquism (moderate Hussitism) had left a durable mark; by 1600, Lutheran, Reformed/Calvinist, and Unity of the Brethren currents coexisted with a resurgent Catholic reform spearheaded by Jesuits and re-organized episcopal structures. The Letter of Majesty (1609) issued by Emperor Rudolf II guaranteed freedom of worship to the “three churches” on royal and town lands and created a Defensors' Board – without extending rights to ecclesiastical (abbatial/bishopric) estates, a loophole that soon proved combustible.¹

However, Rudolf's deposition by his brother Matthias (1611–12) tightened Habsburg control even as it deepened uncertainty over succession. In 1617 the estates – under heavy pressure – accepted Ferdinand of Styria (the future Ferdinand II) as king-designate, a staunch Counter-Reformation prince allied with the Catholic League. For many non-Catholic nobles this looked like a confessional and constitutional rollback: royal offices were filled with loyal Catholics; Jesuit influence expanded in education; and church-property jurisdictions began to be used to challenge Protestant worship near Broumov (Braunau) and Hrob (Klostergrab), where newly built Protestant churches on monastic lands were closed or demolished. The estates' legal argument was that these acts violated the spirit – if not the letter – of the 1609 settlement and the kingdom's customary law.²

¹ This text is a modified version of a lecture delivered at the Fourteenth Annual REFORC Conference on Early Modern Christianity (Vienna, May 22–24, 2024); Jaroslav Pánek, Oldřich Tůma. Eds. 2004. *A History of the Czech Lands*. Prague: Karolinum, 179–196; Hugh LeCaine Agnew. 2004. *The Czechs and the Lands of the Bohemian Crown*. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 63–82.

² Howard Louthan. 2009. *Converting Bohemia: Force and Persuasion in the Catholic Reformation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 15–40; Robert John Weston Evans. 1979. *The Making of the Habsburg Monarchy, 1550–1700*. Oxford: Clarendon, 233–246.

1.2. The Second Defenestration and the “Bohemian Revolt” (1618–1619)

When the royal governors Jaroslav Bořita of Martinice and Vilém Slavata of Chlum, with secretary Philipp Fabricius, enforced the closures, the Estates' commissioners confronted them in the Bohemian Chancellery at Prague Castle. The scene ended with the famous Second Defenestration of Prague (23 May 1618). The act was theatrical and juridical at once: a direct appeal to an older Hussite repertoire of resistance and a declaration that the king's representatives had broken the realm's law. The estates then formed a provisional directorate, raised troops, and sought allies – transforming a constitutional quarrel into open rebellion.³

The struggle immediately drew in external actors. The Protestant Union offered moral support but little hard power; Maximilian of Bavaria and the Catholic League backed the Habsburgs. In the east, Gábor Bethlen of Transylvania attacked Habsburg Hungary, briefly converging with Bohemian aims. The Bohemian Estates deposed the Habsburgs and, in 1619, elected Frederick V, Elector Palatine, as King of Bohemia, thereby intertwining Bohemia with the broader Palatine–Habsburg rivalry and the Spanish Road geopolitics. Frederick's acceptance – urged by militant Reformed advisors – was intended to secure foreign aid (especially from the Dutch and English), but it also hardened Catholic resolve and legitimated imperial-league intervention.⁴

1.3. The Confederation Charter and Catholic consolidation

In autumn 1619 the estates promulgated the Confederation Charter (Svazek), recasting the Crown lands as a federal union of Bohemia, Moravia, Silesia, and the Lusatias under an elective monarchy. It reaffirmed the three churches as legally recognized and curtailed royal prerogatives in favor of estate governance (notably the Directors' Board). Yet the project faced severe constraints: fiscal-military weakness and thin credit; territorial divergence (Moravia and Silesia wavered; Upper/Lower Lusatia had their own compacts with the Saxon elector); confessional fragmentation among Utraquist, Lutheran, and Reformed elites; and diplomatic isolation as England hesitated, the Dutch prioritized their truce cycle, and Saxony bargained with the emperor.⁵

³ Geoffrey Parker. 1997. *The Thirty Years' War*. London: Routledge, 57–63; František Šmahel. 2000. *Idea národa v husitských Čechách*. Praha: Argo, 25–34.

⁴ Josef Polišenský. 1971. *The Thirty Years War*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 63–95; Derek Sayer. 1998. *The Coasts of Bohemia: A Czech History*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 98–115.

⁵ Miroslav Hroch. 2000. *Social Preconditions of National Revival in Europe*. New York: Columbia University Press, 43–51; Pánek, Tůma. Eds. 2004. *History of the Czech Lands*, 197–205.

By 1620 the Habsburg side had assembled decisive advantages. The emperor secured Bavarian and League troops under Johann T'Serclaes, Count Tilly, and rallied imperial forces under Charles Bonaventure de Longueval, Count of Bucquoy. Spain promised funds and pressure on the Palatinate; Saxony was courted with the prospect of gains in Lusatia. The Catholic cause enjoyed a clear command structure, deeper credit networks, and a coherent legitimacy narrative: rebellion against a crowned sovereign framed as sedition rather than a defense of liberties.⁶

Through the campaigning season of 1619–1620, short-term Bohemian successes could not offset strategic drift. Prague's fortifications were improved, but field discipline, pay arrears, and command cohesion plagued the estates' army. As the imperial-league force advanced from the south-west in late 1620, the rebels failed to prevent a decisive engagement near Prague. The field chosen – the gentle plateau of Bílá Hora (White Mountain) – would witness not a long attritional contest but a brief, morale-driven collision that exposed the structural weaknesses crystallized since 1617.⁷

2. The Battle and Its Immediate Aftermath

On the undulating plateau of Bílá Hora (White Mountain) west of Prague, the combined Imperial-League army – field contingents from the Emperor and the Catholic League under Johann T'Serclaes, Count of Tilly, and Charles Bonaventure de Longueval, Count of Bucquoy – confronted the Bohemian-Estates host commanded nominally by Christian of Anhalt, with leading figures including Jindřich Matyáš Thurn. The Catholic line brought the advantages of cohesion, pay, and command unity; the Estates' army, numerically comparable but tactically brittle, suffered arrears and uneven training. Morning skirmishing gave way to a short set-piece assault against the Estates' left; a breach opened along the hedgerows and vineyard walls, and reserve cavalry failed to restore the line. Within hours the Bohemian front dissolved, with only pockets of resistance buying time for a general withdrawal toward Prague. Casualties were modest by early-modern standards but asymmetrical, and – more decisive than losses – was the collapse of morale that rendered further defense untenable. As news of the rout reached the city, Frederick V – acclaimed king in 1619 – departed in haste (the episode later feeding

⁶ Evans. 1979. *Making of the Habsburg Monarchy*, 247–255; Parker. 1997. *Thirty Years' War*, 64–70.

⁷ Louthan. 2009. *Converting Bohemia*, 73–122; Agnew. 2004. *Czechs and the Lands*, 75–82.

the sobriquet “Winter King”). The Estates’ political directorate unraveled; Prague capitulated, and the victors occupied the capital, seizing arsenals and archives. The military decision thus translated immediately into political liquidation of the Confederation Charter regime and the restoration of Habsburg royal authority.⁸

In the months that followed, emergency governance under imperial commissioners prepared a thorough legal reset. The Renewed Land Ordinance (Verneuerte Landesordnung, 1627 for Bohemia; 1628 for Moravia) redefined the Crown lands as hereditary Habsburg possessions, curtailed the Estates, and reordered the language of administration by elevating German alongside (and often above) Czech in chancery practice. It also bound public life to the Catholic confession as the only legally recognized public religion, aligning jurisdiction, education, and censorship with the aims of the Catholic Reformation.⁹

The judicial sequel began with the public execution of twenty-seven rebel leaders on June 21, 1621 at Prague’s Old Town Square – a performative act of sovereign justice intended to end resistance and warn would-be patrons abroad. Further confiscations dismantled the material base of opposition: extensive noble and urban properties were seized and redistributed to loyalists and military creditors. A broad emigration followed – noble families, pastors, and intellectuals (notably circles of the Unity of the Brethren, later including Jan Amos Komenský/Comenius) – draining Czech-language elites and reshaping networks of patronage across Central Europe.¹⁰

Recatholicization proceeded through a mixed repertoire of persuasion, institution-building, and coercion. Jesuit and reformed diocesan structures expanded schools, missions, and seminaries; civic status hinged increasingly on oaths of Catholic allegiance; non-Catholic worship was banned from public space; marriage and baptismal registers enforced conformity in family law; printing and pulpit were supervised. Administrative and educational Germanization advanced with the reconstitution of elites and the inflow of loyal Catholic office-holders, even as vernacular Czech persisted in rural devotion, song, and some municipal milieus.¹¹

⁸ Parker. 1997. *The Thirty Years’ War*, 57–63; Šmahel. 2000. *Idea národa v husitských Čechách*, 25–34.

⁹ Evans. 1979. *The Making of the Habsburg Monarchy*, 247–255; Pánek, Tůma. Eds. 2004. *A History of the Czech Lands*, 197–205.

¹⁰ Louthan. 2009. *Converting Bohemia*, 1–9, 73–122; Hroch. 2000. *Social Preconditions of National Revival in Europe*, 43–51.

¹¹ Louthan. 2009. *Converting Bohemia*, 73–122; Agnew. 2004. *The Czechs and the Lands of the Bohemian Crown*, 75–82.

3. Ambivalent Meaning of Defeat

Nineteenth-century historical fiction and nationalist pedagogy – canonically Alois Jirásek's *Temno* – branded the long post-1620 century as *temno* ("darkness"), a narrative of cultural eclipse and moral night.¹² Modern historiography, while acknowledging the coercive framework of the settlement, stresses internal variety, regional gradients, negotiated accommodations, and creative energies within Catholic culture itself; the arc from Bílá Hora to modern Czech identity thus appears less as a flat night and more as a contested twilight, in which trauma and transformation were tightly intertwined.¹³

In modern Czech memory Bílá Hora functions as a negative founding event: the point at which sovereignty was lost, confessional plurality curtailed, and cultural hierarchies inverted. Yet the social reality it inaugurated was not a monochrome night but a graduated spectrum of constraint, accommodation, and quiet defiance. Under the legal monopoly of Catholicism, vernacular devotion, family piety, and lay song persisted as semi-private reservoirs of identity; exile networks (notably of the Unity of the Brethren) circulated books and pastors; and selective conformity – oaths, sacramental discipline, ritual attendance – coexisted with nonconformist memory transmitted in households and micro-communities. In this sense, the defeat nourished a tradition of spiritual resistance that stabilized cultural continuity beneath a façade of public uniformity.¹⁴

When the *národní obrození* gathered momentum, Bílá Hora was re-read as both wound and summons. Its mnemonic power mobilized linguistic reclamation (codification of Czech and the cultivation of prose/poetry), scholarly recovery of the medieval and Hussite pasts (editions, chronicles, antiquarianism), and civic aspirations to autonomy within the Habsburg framework. The myth worked on two registers: as mourning (didactic narratives of loss and betrayal) and as program (schools, reading societies, theater, museums). By converting trauma into cultural labor, the Revival reframed the seventeenth century not

¹² The Czech term *temno* is a powerful but controversial metaphor for the period after 1620 – useful for describing trauma and loss, but requiring correction by research that also shows continuity, creativity, and negotiation in Czech religious and cultural life.

¹³ Tomáš Petrání. 1997. *Temno?*. Praha: Paseka.

¹⁴ Josef Petrání, Lydia Petránová. 1998. The White Mountain as a Symbol in Modern Czech History. In *Bohemia in History*. Ed. Mikuláš Teich, 143–163. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Tomáš Malý. 2016. The End of the Bohemian Reformation. In *From Hus to Luther: Visual Culture in the Bohemian Reformation (1380–1620)*. Ed. Kateřina Horníčková, Michal Šroněk, 305–328. Turnhout: Brepols; Ondřej Slačálek. 2019. "The Paradoxical Czech Memory of the Habsburg Monarchy: Satisfied Helots or Crippled Citizens?." *Slavic Review* 78 (4): 912–920; Derek Sayer. 1998. *The Coasts of Bohemia: A Czech History*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

as terminal decline but as a call to repair – a narrative alchemy that made Bílá Hora a catalyst of modern nation-building.¹⁵

Because post-1620 Catholicism in the Bohemian lands was structurally entangled with imperial consolidation – through law, office-holding, education, and property – its image in modern Czech memory often bears a foreign or coercive hue. Over centuries this contributed (indirectly) to a skeptical stance toward institutional religion, later reinforced by modernization, urbanization, and communist repression. The legacy is irreducibly ambivalent: Catholic institutions also generated shared cultural goods – schools and universities, music, charitable infrastructures, juridical literacy – that became part of common Czech patrimony. For contemporary theology and church life, this history complicates Catholic – Protestant relations: it calls for a hermeneutics of reconciliation that (a) names confessional wounds and asymmetries of power, (b) honors non-Catholic continuities of faith and culture, and (c) receives the positive inheritances (education, music, charity, law) as shared goods rather than trophies of domination.¹⁶

Nineteenth-century pedagogies and fiction canonized the post-1620 century as *temno* (“darkness”), but recent historiography problematizes any single optic. A more adequate grammar speaks of plural memory: alongside oppression and rupture, there were negotiated accommodations; alongside vernacular erosion, vernacular reinventions; alongside confessional policing, zones of reciprocity where culture exceeded polemic.¹⁷ Within this grammar, Bílá Hora is best read not as a closed catastrophe but as an open process – one whose ambivalence helps explain both Czech secular skepticism and the ethical energies of revival, reform, and ecumenical rapprochement.

¹⁵ Steven J. Mock. 2011. *Symbols of Defeat in the Construction of National Identity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, esp. chap. 4; Vladimír Macura. 1995. *Znamení zrodu: České národní obrození jako kulturní typ*. Praha: H & H.

¹⁶ Tomáš Halík. 2021. “The Revolution of Mercy and a New Ecumenism”. *Studies: An Irish Quarterly Review* 110 (438): 268–279; Tomáš Halík. 2015. Church for the Seekers. In *A Czech Perspective on Faith in a Secular Age*. Ed. Tomáš Halík, Pavel Hošek, 127–133. Washington, DC: Council for Research in Values and Philosophy; Anna C. Cotterill, Tomáš Halík. 2024. “A Theology for the Post-Secular”. *Theological Studies* 85 (1): 1–22.

¹⁷ Lenka Řezníková. 2016. „Beyond Ideology: Representations of the Baroque in Socialist Czechoslovakia as Seen through the Media”. *Journal of Art Historiography* 15: 1–23; Nancy M. Wingfield. 2007. *Flag Wars and Stone Saints: How the Bohemian Lands Became Czech*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

4. Reception in Literature, Visual Art, and Music – from martyrology to self-irony

Nineteenth-century historicizing fiction reframed the seventeenth century as a moral drama: *temno* overcome by steadfast conscience and vernacular tenacity. Alois Jirásek's *Temno* codified the post-White-Mountain "gloom" as a pedagogical myth, while Václav Beneš Třebízský's popular cycles cast endurance and conscience as national virtues.¹⁸

In the twentieth century, Vladislav Vančura's *Obrazy z dějin národa českého* re-read White Mountain as a hinge between catastrophe and cultural self-reflection; later prose – exemplarily Bohumil Hrabal – tests Czech identity under the pressure of "great histories", translating pathos into everyday irony.¹⁹

Nineteenth-century historical painting (e.g., Jaroslav Čermák) crystallized a martyrological optic, while public monuments reinforced a pedagogy of remembrance; the pavement crosses on Prague's Old Town Square marking the 1621 executions function as *lieux de mémoire*.²⁰

Though not programmatically about White Mountain, Bedřich Smetana's *Má vlast* encodes a sonic politics where defeat and resurgence interpenetrate (Husite chorales, cyclic recall). Revival-era choruses and liturgical reforms likewise negotiated piety, language, and public space.²¹

¹⁸ Dessislava Velitchkova Dragneva. 2006. *Conceptions of Decay in Czech and Bulgarian National Revival Discourse*. University College London. (PhD diss.).

¹⁹ Vladislav Vančura. 1939. *Obrazy z dějin národa českého I: Od dávnověku po dobu královské*. Praha: Družstevní práce; Daniel Just. 2018. „Bohumil Hrabal and the Poetics of Aging”. *MLN* 133 (5): 1390–1415; Charles Sabatos. 2013. Hrabal's Satirical Legacy in the Central European Autobiographical Novel. In *Grotesque Revisited: Grotesque and Satire in the Post/Modern Literature of Central and Eastern Europe*. Ed. Laurynas Katkus. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

²⁰ Jaroslav Čermák. 1873. *After the Battle of White Mountain*. *Museum of Literature – Discover Stories of Czech Literature Online*. <https://www.expozice.muzeumliteratury.cz/en/exhibit-after-the-battle-of-white-mountain> (12. XI 2025); „28 crosses”, *Prague City Tourism* <https://prague.eu/en/objevujte/28-crosses/> (11 XI 2025); Pierre Nora. 1984–1992. *Les lieux de mémoire*. Paris: Gallimard; transl. 1996–1998. *Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past*. New York: Columbia University Press.

²¹ Bedřich Smetana. 2009. *Má vlast* (My Country), score and commentary; Kelly St. Pierre. 2012. *Revolutionizing Czechness: Smetana and Propaganda in the Umělecká beseda*. Case Western Reserve University (PhD diss.); Tůma Slavický. 2023. "The Transformations of Musical Institutions in Nineteenth-Century Bohemia". *Arti musices* 54 (2): 335–350; Jiří Kub. 2015. "The Czech Liturgy of the 19th Century in the Pages of ČKD." *Theologická revue* 86 (2): 123–140.

5. Ecumenical Reflections of the Battle – the ambivalence of “confessionalization”

Post-1620 Catholic renewal in the Bohemian lands bound spiritual reform to the instruments of state power (law, office-holding, schooling), while Protestant memory fused faith with national self-assertion and a martyrological grammar of loss. Each trajectory carried a theological risk: instrumentalizing the Gospel for civic or dynastic projects – on one side by sanctifying coercive uniformity, on the other by equating ecclesial identity with a national program. A sober ecumenical reading therefore distinguishes legitimate reform from politicized confessionalization, and faithful remembrance from ideologized grievance, without collapsing their historical distinctiveness.²²

Since the democratic transition, Czech Christianity has tested concrete healing-of-memories practices: mutual recognition of witnesses across confessions, penitential acknowledgments of past coercion, and cooperation around common goods (education, music, archives, charity) and joint commemorations (e.g., Old Town crosses) – all supported by ecumenical scholarship and public theology.²³

A mature national identity can remember Bílá Hora without hardening anti-Catholic or anti-Protestant stereotypes.²⁴ Ecumenically, this means resisting conflation of Church with nation or throne, receiving the plurality of Czech Christian traditions as resources for democratic culture, and cultivating a hermeneutics of reconciliation that pairs truthful lament with gratitude for shared inheritances.²⁵

Conclusion

In summary, it should be emphasized that the Battle of White Mountain (1620) was a brief military clash that had far-reaching political, religious, and cultural consequences for the Czech lands. The Habsburg victory led to con-

²² Heinz Schilling. 1992. Confessionalization in Europe, 1555–1648: Religious and Societal Change. In *Religion, Political Culture and the Emergence of Early Modern Society*. Ed. Heinz Schilling, 205–245. Leiden: Brill.

²³ Tomáš Halík. 2019. *From the Underground Church to Freedom*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.

²⁴ Ondřej Slačálek. 2019. “The Paradoxical Czech Memory of the Habsburg Monarchy: Satisfied Helots or Crippled Citizens?”. *Slavic Review* 78: 912–920.

²⁵ General Secretariat of the Synod. 2023. *Final Document of the Continental Stage in Europe*. Vatican City: General Secretariat of the Synod, §§ 21–24; Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity. 2020. *The Bishop and Christian Unity: An Ecumenical Vademecum*. Vatican City: Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis, §§ 24–27.

stitutional restructuring, the establishment of hereditary rule and forced re-catholization supported by law, education, and property transfers, as well as partial Germanization and the emigration of non-Catholic elites. In the memory of the Czechs, Bílá Hora became a “negative founding event” and later the core of the *temno* (“darkness”) myth: a symbol of lost sovereignty, limited pluralism, and cultural oppression. Contemporary historiography, however, emphasizes a more complex spectrum of restrictions, adaptations, and internal continuity in Czech religious and cultural life, which later became the breeding ground for *národní obrození* (national revival). Literature, painting, monuments, and music (e.g., Jirásek, Vančura, Smetana) transformed the battle from a traumatic event into an opportunity for self-irony and reflection. From an ecumenical perspective, this event can be read as a call for a conciliatory interpretation of this past – pointing to both Catholic coercion and Protestant national martyrdom – so that Czech identity can remember White Mountain without entrenched stereotypes, integrating the pluralistic Christian heritage as a common resource of democratic culture.

References

- Agnew Hugh LeCaine. 2004. *The Czechs and the Lands of the Bohemian Crown*. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press.
- Cotterill Anna C., Tomáš Halík. 2024. “A Theology for the Post-Secular”. *Theological Studies* 85 (1): 1–22.
- Evans Robert John Weston. 1979. *The Making of the Habsburg Monarchy, 1550–1700*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- General Secretariat of the Synod. 2023. *Final Document of the Continental Stage in Europe*. Vatican City: General Secretariat of the Synod.
- Halík Tomáš. 2015. Church for the Seekers. In *A Czech Perspective on Faith in a Secular Age*. Eds. Tomáš Halík, Pavel Hošek, 127–133. Washington, DC: Council for Research in Values and Philosophy.
- Halík Tomáš. 2019. *From the Underground Church to Freedom*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Halík Tomáš. 2021. “The Revolution of Mercy and a New Ecumenism”. *Studies: An Irish Quarterly Review* 110 (438): 268–279.
- Hroch Miroslav. 2000. *Social Preconditions of National Revival in Europe*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kub Jiří. 2015. “The Czech Liturgy of the 19th Century in the Pages of ČKD”. *Theologická revue* 86 (2): 123–140.

- Louthan Howard. 2009. *Converting Bohemia: Force and Persuasion in the Catholic Reformation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Macura Vladimír. 1995. *Znamení zrodu: České národní obrození jako kulturní typ*. Praha: H & H.
- Mock J. Steven 2011. *Symbols of Defeat in the Construction of National Identity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nora Pierre. 1984–1992. *Les lieux de mémoire*. Paris: Gallimard; transl. 1996–1998. *Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Pánek Jaroslav, Tůma Oldřich. Eds. 2004. *A History of the Czech Lands*. Prague: Karolinum.
- Parker Geoffrey. 1997. *The Thirty Years' War*. London: Routledge.
- Petráň Tomáš. 1997. *Temno?*. Praha: Paseka.
- Polišenský Josef. 1971. *The Thirty Years War*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity. 2020. *The Bishop and Christian Unity: An Ecumenical Vademecum*. Vatican City: Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis.
- Řezníková Lenka. 2016. „Beyond Ideology: Representations of the Baroque in Socialist Czechoslovakia as Seen through the Media”. *Journal of Art Historiography* 15: 1–23.
- Sabatos Charles. 2013. Hrabal's Satirical Legacy in the Central European Autobiographical Novel. In *Grotesque Revisited: Grotesque and Satire in the Post/Modern Literature of Central and Eastern Europe*. Ed. Laurynas Katkus. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Sayer Derek. 1998. *The Coasts of Bohemia: A Czech History*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Schilling Heinz. 1992. Confessionalization in Europe, 1555–1648: Religious and Societal Change. In *Religion, Political Culture and the Emergence of Early Modern Society*. Ed. Heinz Schilling, 205–245. Leiden: Brill.
- Slačálek Ondřej. 2019. “The Paradoxical Czech Memory of the Habsburg Monarchy: Satisfied Helots or Crippled Citizens?”. *Slavic Review* 78: 912–920.
- Šmahel František. 2000. *Idea národa v husitských Čechách*. Praha: Argo.
- Smetana Bedřich. 2009. *Má vlast (My Country), score and commentary*. Kelly St. Pierre. 2012.
- Vančura Vladislav. 1939. *Obrazy z dějin národa českého I: Od dávnověku po dobu královskou*. Praha: Družstevní práce.
- Wingfield Nancy M. 2007. *Flag Wars and Stone Saints: How the Bohemian Lands Became Czech*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.